

# Java Security

## *HotJava to Netscape and Beyond*

Drew Dean   Ed Felten   Dan Wallach  
Department of Computer Science  
Princeton University

4/5/96

## **Introduction**

---

- Remote Code is Everywhere
- Java - A new language for executable content on the World Wide Web
- HotJava - A Web browser written in Java
- Netscape 2.0 - A Web browser with Java support

4/5/96

Page 2

## Remote Code

---

- Allows interactive content

- ◆ hot imagemaps
- ◆ animations
- ◆ front-ends to shared games, databases, etc.

☞ *Dynamic* Web pages

4/5/96

Page 3

## Java: Buzzword Compliance

---

- Portable byte code interpreter

- ◆ Load-time compilation possible

- Abstract Window Toolkit (portable runtime)

- Reusable class modules (*applets*)

- Safe for untrusted code

- ◆ Restricted file system / network access
- ◆ Restricted access to browser internals
- ◆ Load and run-time checks

4/5/96

Page 4

## Outline

---

- Introduction
- Java Semantics
- HotJava and Netscape Security Flaws
- Security Analysis
- Application Requirements
- User Interface
- Future work / Conclusions

4/5/96

Page 5

## Java vs. C++

---

- Name spaces and packages
- **public**, **protected**, **private**, or public-within-current-package members
- Language-level threads and synchronization
- No pointers
- Garbage collection
- Type safety

4/5/96

Page 6

## Java Type Safety

---

- Load-time code verifier
- Run-time exceptions
  - ◆ Array bounds
  - ◆ Type compatibility
  - ◆ Local vs. remote code security checks

4/5/96

Page 7

## Java Remote Bytecode

---

- ClassLoaders
  - ◆ ClassLoaders bind names to **Class** objects
  - ◆ Default ClassLoader can only load code from file system
  - ◆ Other ClassLoaders can access network, etc.
  - ◆ All classes tagged with their ClassLoader
- Applets may not create ClassLoaders

4/5/96

Page 8

## Java SecurityManager class

---

- ✓ New in Java beta versions
- Implements much of Java's security policy
- Runtime checks on dangerous methods (i.e. a *reference monitor* [Lampson])
  - ◇ Tamperproof, verifiable, always invoked
- Customizable (eventually)
- Can't be changed after browser initialization


4/5/96

Page 9

## HotJava 1.0α3 Security

---

- Covert Channels
  - ◇ URLs
  - ◇ DNS
  - ◇ Two- vs. three-party attacks



4/5/96

Page 10

## HotJava 1.0α3 Security

---

### ■ Information available to leak

- ◆ Mailcap files
- ◆ `System.getenv()`

### ■ Denial of service attacks

- ◆ `C:\TEMP`
- ◆ Acquire a system lock

### ■ Man-in-the-middle attack

- ◆ Set HTTP proxy server

4/5/96

Page 11

## Sun's Response

---

### ■ "Fixed in the next release"

- ◆ `getenv()` is gone
- ◆ `accept()` bug fixed
- ◆ DNS/URL channels closed (not!)
- ◆ ACLs removed (no file access at all in Netscape)

### ■ Too bad

- ◆ Denial of service attacks "lower in priority than system integrity"

4/5/96

Page 12

## Netscape 2.0 Security

---

- + Separation of Netscape and Java code
  - ◆ can't change HTTP proxy server
  - ◆ less chance for accidental or malicious bug introduction
- + Fixed security policy
  - ◆ can't trick users into lowering security
- Serious limits on functionality
  - ◆ no file system access

4/5/96

Page 13

## Netscape 2.0β Insecurity

---

- protected variables were effectively public
  - ◆ semantics of **protected** changed in JDK β2 (Netscape 2.0 β4)
  - ◆ could set **SecurityManager.inCheck**, opening DNS channel
- could learn the user's name [Burchard]
- could read the clipboard [Burchard]
  - ◆ fixed in JDK β2

4/5/96

Page 14

## JDK 1.0 Insecurity

---

- **javap**, the disassembler, calls **sprintf()** wrong
  - ◆ can overflow internal buffers
    - ✦ similar attack last year on **syslog(3)** [CERT 95:13]
  - ◆ examining a Java class can run arbitrary native code!
- similar bugs in Java 1.0α3 were fixed, but they forgot **javap**.

4/5/96

Page 15

## Netscape 2.0 Insecurity

---

- Denial of service attacks still available
- Applets can interfere with each other

```

/*
 * @(#)check_code.c      1.51 95/12/02
 */

/*-
 * Verify that the code within a method block doesn't
 * exploit any security holes.
 *
 * This code is still a work in progress. All currently
 * existing code passes the test, but so does a lot of bad code.
 */

```

4/5/96

Page 16



## Netscape 2.0 Insecurity

---

### ■ Java trusts DNS

- ◆ Internet hosts can have multiple IP addresses
- ◆ Java host equality test is *too lenient*

### ■ With a hacked DNS server


- ◆ Two-way channel to any machine on the Internet
- ◆ Applets can connect to machines *behind* a firewall
  - ✦ Exploit numerous Unix and Windows bugs
  - ✦ Talk to internal Web and NetNews servers

4/5/96

Page 17

## Netscape DNS Attack

---



The DNS attack allows connections to *any* machine behind the firewall.

4/5/96

Page 18

## Netscape 2.0 Insecurity

---

- Java trusts bytecode to enforce language semantics
  - ◆ Superclass constructors may throw **SecurityException**
    - ✦ Prevents instantiation of **ClassLoader** and other classes
  - ◆ Exception can be ignored by custom bytecode
- A **ClassLoader** can break the type system

4/5/96

Page 19

## Running Machine Code

---

- Available tools
  - ◆ Read/modify any variable, and call any method
  - ◆ Use ints as object references, and vice versa
  - ◆ Double dereference any pointer
  - ◆ Access to C implementation of class **Class**
- Puzzle: Run arbitrary machine code?

4/5/96

Page 20

# Running Machine Code

---

4/5/96

Page 21

## Outline

---

- Introduction
- Java Semantics
- HotJava and Netscape Security Flaws
- **Security Analysis**
- Application Requirements
- User Interface
- Future work / Conclusions

4/5/96

Page 22

## Security Analysis

---

- Sun wants you to believe Java is secure
  - ◆ Applets don't have access to any information
  - ◆ There are no channels to leak information out
  - ◆ Safe language thwarts malicious applets
- We found
  - ◆ Interesting information available to applets
  - ◆ Channels exist to leak information out
  - ◆ Applets can execute arbitrary machine code

4/5/96

Page 23

## Security Policy

---

- No formal model
  - ◆ “A program that has not been specified cannot be incorrect; it can only be surprising.” [YBK85]
- Why this is bad
  - ◆ We can't say what “secure” means
  - ◆ We can't verify an implementation

4/5/96

Page 24

## Accountability

---

- Java does **not** log applets or their actions
- Should log
  - ◆ File system and network access
  - ◆ Applet bytecode
- Evidence of an attack
  - ◆ Reconstruct what happened
  - ◆ Seek legal recourse

4/5/96

Page 25

## Integrity

---

- HotJava is harder to secure than Netscape
  - ◆ More state kept in Java
  - ◆ Lack of formal interface between browser and applets
  - ◆ Mistakes (public variables) become security problems in HotJava
- Browser in Java won't have C safety problems
- This issue will reappear in future HotJava releases (expected 1Q96)

4/5/96

Page 26

## Assurance

---

- Java and HotJava do not identify a TCB (trusted computing base)
- Security critical functionality spread throughout the code
  - ◆ Dynamic type checks
  - ◆ Not all native methods protected by **SecurityManager**
- Bugs in release edition - rushed shipment?

4/5/96

Page 27

## Anatomy of a File Open

---

```
public FileInputStream(String name)
throws FileNotFoundException {
    SecurityManager security =
        System.getSecurityManager();
    if (security != null) {
        security.checkRead(name);
    }
    try {
        open(name);
    } catch (IOException e) {
        throw new FileNotFoundException(name);
    }
}
```

4/5/96

Page 28

## Language Issues

---

### ■ Public variables are **dangerous**

- ◆ Why are they writable across name spaces?

### ■ Java's **package** mechanism

- ◆ Not as useful as parameterized module system (e.g. Standard ML's functors)
- ◆ Hierarchical module system allows hierarchical protection

4/5/96

Page 29

## Intermediate Representation

---

### ■ Abstract Syntax Trees vs. Bytecode

- ◆ ASTs easier to type check
  - ✦ No need for global dataflow analysis
- ◆ ASTs have same semantics as language
  - ✦ Bytecode has its own semantics
- ◆ Comparable compilation speed

4/5/96

Page 30

## Application Requirements

---

### ■ What do we want to write in Java?

- ◆ Distributed applications
  - ✦ A/V conferencing, but not cross-network bugs
  - ✦ Loosely coupled computations (e.g. factoring), but neither stealing cycles nor denial-of-service attacks
  - ✦ Games, but not trojan-horse benchmarks
- ◆ General Applications
  - ✦ Save/restore preferences, but not read `/etc/passwd`

4/5/96

Page 31

## User Interface

---

### ■ Too easy for the user just to click OK

- ◆ Goal: minimize user involvement in security
- ◆ Trusted and unspoofable dialogs for file access

### ■ Unforgable device access indicators

### ■ Access to the clipboard

- ◆ *Paste to applet* on *Edit* menu
  - ✦ Explicit user-initiated request, not applet-initiated

4/5/96

Page 32



## Digital signatures for applets

---

- Grant more trust to signed applets?
- Log user-approved capabilities per applet or per source
- User-specified or organization-specified policies?
  - ◇ Different degrees of trust between organizations
  - ◇ One rigid policy won't fit everybody
- Interaction with organization's firewall?

4/5/96

Page 33

## Future Work

---

- Design a set of security policies
- Implement policies in Netscape and/or HotJava
- Build a high-assurance Java runtime system

4/5/96

Page 34

## Conclusions

---

- Remote code is inevitable for the Web
- Java is promising, but has important bugs and design issues
- Stronger security measures can allow **more** functionality for untrusted applets without compromising privacy and integrity

<http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~ddean/java/>